first tearing off their claws or nippers; and being thus disabled from doing harm, the crab dog or racoon uses its sharp teeth to break the shell. In their native state they sleep by day, and issue at dusk in search of food; birds, insects, roots, and vegetables, nothing comes amiss; and as they possess a particular fondness for sweets, I have been told by practical planters that the injury which they do to sugar plantations is very considerable.

They take their food with both paws like the squirrel, and are fond of dipping it in water. I have noted with astonishment that they drink as well by lapping like the dog as by sucking. I have had several in a domesticated state, all of which possessed this peculiarity.

They are very active; their sharp claws enable them to climb trees with great agility, and to leap with security from branch to branch. When on the ground they move forward by bounding, and in an oblique direction; nevertheless they are swift enough, and rarely fall a prey to their pursuers.

They are easily domesticated when taken young, and are then harmless and amusing, but our endeavours to accustom two adults which we had secured to a domesticated state proved entirely vain. We were obliged to keep them chained; they refused apparently to eat or drink, and died the first two weeks after we had entrapped them.

I have been told of a second species, but neither Mr. Vieth nor myself have ever met with it, nor have I been able to ascertain in what its distinguishing characters consist.

[To be continued.]

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTICES.

Études de Micromammalogie. Revue des Musaraignes, des Rats et des Campagnols, suivie d'un Index méthodique des Mammifères d'Europe. Par Edm. De Selys-Longchamps, Membre de plusieurs Sociétés savantes. Paris, 1839. 8vo. pp. 165. pls. 3.

We deem it very desirable that this little work should be brought under the notice of our readers, as well on account of its intrinsic merits, as on that of its relating to certain groups which have recently attracted much attention in this country. It is also one of that class of books written exclusively for the benefit of the working naturalists, which of all others, in our opinion, tend most to the ad-

first tearing off their claws or nippers; and being thus disabled from doing harm, the crab dog or racoon uses its sharp teeth to break the shell. In their native state they sleep by day, and issue at dusk in search of food; birds, insects, roots, and vegetables, nothing comes amiss; and as they possess a particular fondness for sweets, I have been told by practical planters that the injury which they do to sugar plantations is very considerable.

They take their food with both paws like the squirrel, and are fond of dipping it in water. I have noted with astonishment that they drink as well by lapping like the dog as by sucking. I have had several in a domesticated state, all of which possessed this peculiarity.

They are very active; their sharp claws enable them to climb trees with great agility, and to leap with security from branch to branch. When on the ground they move forward by bounding, and in an oblique direction; nevertheless they are swift enough, and rarely fall a prey to their pursuers.

They are easily domesticated when taken young, and are then harmless and amusing, but our endeavours to accustom two adults which we had secured to a domesticated state proved entirely vain. We were obliged to keep them chained; they refused apparently to eat or drink, and died the first two weeks after we had entrapped them.

I have been told of a second species, but neither Mr. Vieth nor myself have ever met with it, nor have I been able to ascertain in what its distinguishing characters consist.

[To be continued.]

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTICES.

Études de Micromammalogie. Revue des Musaraignes, des Rats et des Campagnols, suivie d'un Index méthodique des Mammifères d'Europe. Par Edm. De Selys-Longchamps, Membre de plusieurs Sociétés savantes. Paris, 1839. 8vo. pp. 165. pls. 3.

We deem it very desirable that this little work should be brought under the notice of our readers, as well on account of its intrinsic merits, as on that of its relating to certain groups which have recently attracted much attention in this country. It is also one of that class of books written exclusively for the benefit of the working naturalists, which of all others, in our opinion, tend most to the ad-

first tearing off their claws or nippers; and being thus disabled from doing harm, the crab dog or racoon uses its sharp teeth to break the shell. In their native state they sleep by day, and issue at dusk in search of food; birds, insects, roots, and vegetables, nothing comes amiss; and as they possess a particular fondness for sweets, I have been told by practical planters that the injury which they do to sugar plantations is very considerable.

They take their food with both paws like the squirrel, and are fond of dipping it in water. I have noted with astonishment that they drink as well by lapping like the dog as by sucking. I have had several in a domesticated state, all of which possessed this peculiarity.

They are very active; their sharp claws enable them to climb trees with great agility, and to leap with security from branch to branch. When on the ground they move forward by bounding, and in an oblique direction; nevertheless they are swift enough, and rarely fall a prey to their pursuers.

They are easily domesticated when taken young, and are then harmless and amusing, but our endeavours to accustom two adults which we had secured to a domesticated state proved entirely vain. We were obliged to keep them chained; they refused apparently to eat or drink, and died the first two weeks after we had entrapped them.

I have been told of a second species, but neither Mr. Vieth nor myself have ever met with it, nor have I been able to ascertain in what its distinguishing characters consist.

[To be continued.]

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTICES.

Études de Micromammalogie. Revue des Musaraignes, des Rats et des Campagnols, suivie d'un Index méthodique des Mammifères d'Europe. Par Edm. De Selys-Longchamps, Membre de plusieurs Sociétés savantes. Paris, 1839. 8vo. pp. 165. pls. 3.

We deem it very desirable that this little work should be brought under the notice of our readers, as well on account of its intrinsic merits, as on that of its relating to certain groups which have recently attracted much attention in this country. It is also one of that class of books written exclusively for the benefit of the working naturalists, which of all others, in our opinion, tend most to the ad-

vancement of zoology. Its author is already known to the public by a small brochure published a few years back on the Arvicola of the neighbourhood of Liége*. In the work now under review, he has revised and described all the known species belonging to the three genera of Sorex, Mus, and Arvicola inhabiting Europe; and he has taken great pains in the investigation of their synonyms, and in the endeavour to fix their respective characters with certainty and precision, besides giving ample notices of all that had been observed respecting their habits and places of abode. As these genera belong to, or almost form in themselves, three distinct families of Mammalia, and two out of the three belong even to different orders, it is clear that they could not be collected into one group, established upon their mutual affinities, and offering any characters by which they might be distinguished in common from the rest of the class. It is this circumstance which has led M. De Selys-Longchamps to adopt as a title to his work the term 'Études de Micromammalogie;' indicating simply the study of the Cheiroptera, Insectivora, and Rodentia, or the three orders of Mammalia embracing the smallest species in the class, and none of which exceed a moderate size: and he disclaims all idea of attaching any further importance to this term, or of wishing it to be accepted rigorously, as implying a distinct branch of Mammalogy. With regard to the particular genera selected for illustration in this work, it is observed that they are those least understood and most numerous in species; and that the greater part of the other Rodentia may be found in the works of Pallas, Desmarest, Fred. Cuvier, and De Blainville. We much regret, however, that the Cheiroptera are not included, owing, it is alleged, to the author's not having been able to see himself all the described species, which he considers indispensable to enable him to proceed with his task surely. The reason is a good one; and it may serve to impress us with a sense of the caution which he has used in endeavouring to elucidate those groups, monographs of which are now submitted to the public.

That he might profit from what has been accomplished by others on the same subject, M. De Selys-Longchamps has visited a large number of museums in France, Italy, Switzerland, and Germany. He has also been in correspondence with all the principal naturalists whose names have been associated with any of the groups here treated of. With many of them he has effected an interchange of specimens; and by these means he has been enabled to identify such

^{*} Essai Monographique sur les Campagnols des Environs de Liége. Liége, 1836. 8vo, pp. 15. 4 planches coloriées.

vancement of zoology. Its author is already known to the public by a small brochure published a few years back on the Arvicola of the neighbourhood of Liége*. In the work now under review, he has revised and described all the known species belonging to the three genera of Sorex, Mus, and Arvicola inhabiting Europe; and he has taken great pains in the investigation of their synonyms, and in the endeavour to fix their respective characters with certainty and precision, besides giving ample notices of all that had been observed respecting their habits and places of abode. As these genera belong to, or almost form in themselves, three distinct families of Mammalia, and two out of the three belong even to different orders, it is clear that they could not be collected into one group, established upon their mutual affinities, and offering any characters by which they might be distinguished in common from the rest of the class. It is this circumstance which has led M. De Selys-Longchamps to adopt as a title to his work the term 'Études de Micromammalogie;' indicating simply the study of the Cheiroptera, Insectivora, and Rodentia, or the three orders of Mammalia embracing the smallest species in the class, and none of which exceed a moderate size: and he disclaims all idea of attaching any further importance to this term, or of wishing it to be accepted rigorously, as implying a distinct branch of Mammalogy. With regard to the particular genera selected for illustration in this work, it is observed that they are those least understood and most numerous in species; and that the greater part of the other Rodentia may be found in the works of Pallas, Desmarest, Fred. Cuvier, and De Blainville. We much regret, however, that the Cheiroptera are not included, owing, it is alleged, to the author's not having been able to see himself all the described species, which he considers indispensable to enable him to proceed with his task surely. The reason is a good one; and it may serve to impress us with a sense of the caution which he has used in endeavouring to elucidate those groups, monographs of which are now submitted to the public.

That he might profit from what has been accomplished by others on the same subject, M. De Selys-Longchamps has visited a large number of museums in France, Italy, Switzerland, and Germany. He has also been in correspondence with all the principal naturalists whose names have been associated with any of the groups here treated of. With many of them he has effected an interchange of specimens; and by these means he has been enabled to identify such

^{*} Essai Monographique sur les Campagnols des Environs de Liége. Liége, 1836. 8vo, pp. 15. 4 planches coloriées.

vancement of zoology. Its author is already known to the public by a small brochure published a few years back on the Arvicola of the neighbourhood of Liége*. In the work now under review, he has revised and described all the known species belonging to the three genera of Sorex, Mus, and Arvicola inhabiting Europe; and he has taken great pains in the investigation of their synonyms, and in the endeavour to fix their respective characters with certainty and precision, besides giving ample notices of all that had been observed respecting their habits and places of abode. As these genera belong to, or almost form in themselves, three distinct families of Mammalia, and two out of the three belong even to different orders, it is clear that they could not be collected into one group, established upon their mutual affinities, and offering any characters by which they might be distinguished in common from the rest of the class. It is this circumstance which has led M. De Selys-Longchamps to adopt as a title to his work the term 'Études de Micromammalogie;' indicating simply the study of the Cheiroptera, Insectivora, and Rodentia, or the three orders of Mammalia embracing the smallest species in the class, and none of which exceed a moderate size: and he disclaims all idea of attaching any further importance to this term, or of wishing it to be accepted rigorously, as implying a distinct branch of Mammalogy. With regard to the particular genera selected for illustration in this work, it is observed that they are those least understood and most numerous in species; and that the greater part of the other Rodentia may be found in the works of Pallas, Desmarest, Fred. Cuvier, and De Blainville. We much regret, however, that the Cheiroptera are not included, owing, it is alleged, to the author's not having been able to see himself all the described species, which he considers indispensable to enable him to proceed with his task surely. The reason is a good one; and it may serve to impress us with a sense of the caution which he has used in endeavouring to elucidate those groups, monographs of which are now submitted to the public.

That he might profit from what has been accomplished by others on the same subject, M. De Selys-Longchamps has visited a large number of museums in France, Italy, Switzerland, and Germany. He has also been in correspondence with all the principal naturalists whose names have been associated with any of the groups here treated of. With many of them he has effected an interchange of specimens; and by these means he has been enabled to identify such

^{*} Essai Monographique sur les Campagnols des Environs de Liége. Liége, 1836. 8vo, pp. 15. 4 planches coloriées.

species as had been described from time to time, to compare them with each other, and to determine which were to be considered as *true* species, and which as varieties.

In the arrangement of the Soricidæ, which form the first division of his work, M. De Selys-Longchamps has for the most part followed Wagler, having only altered the value of the groups established by that author. Thus he considers the entire family as divisible into the two genera of Sorex and Crocidura. In the former he includes Sorex and Crossopus of Wagler, here considered only as subgenera, from their having many characters in common, in the ears, in the colouring of the teeth, and in the fur and tail, and from the number of the small lateral incisors not being esteemed a sufficient ground to warrant a generic separation. The genus Crocidura comprises the two subgenera of Pachyura and Crocidura, the former of which is established here for the first time, for the reception of the Sorex Etrusca of Savi, together with those foreign species having one more lateral incisor above than the true Crocidura as restricted by our author. It may be useful to those naturalists who are more familiar with Duvernoy's arrangement of this family than Wagler's, to state that the subgenus Sorex of this work answers to Amphisorex of Duvernoy's last memoir, Crossopus to Hydrosorex of the same author, and Crocidura to Sorex; the characters of which it is not necessary to repeat here, as they have been already brought under the notice of the English reader in a former number of this Magazine*. The relative value, however, of these groups will be made more clear when exhibited in the following manner; and it may be desirable to annex to each the included species.

Gen. 1. SOREX, LIN.

Subgen. 1. Sorex, Wagl.

Sp. 1. tetragonurus, Herm.

2. pygmæus, Laxm.

3. alpinus, Schinz.

Subgen. 2. Crossorus, Wagl.

Sp. 4. fodiens, Pall.

5. ciliatus, Sow.

Gen. 2. CROCIDURA, WAGL.

Subgen. 1. Pachyura, De Selys. Subgen. 2. Crocidura, Wagl. Sp. 1. Etrusca, Bonap. (Sorex Etr. Savi.) Sp. 2. Aranea, De Selys. (Sor. Aran. Auct.)
3. Leucodon, Wagl.

^{*} Ann. of Nat. Hist. vol. i. pp. 422 and 424.

species as had been described from time to time, to compare them with each other, and to determine which were to be considered as *true* species, and which as varieties.

In the arrangement of the Soricidæ, which form the first division of his work, M. De Selys-Longchamps has for the most part followed Wagler, having only altered the value of the groups established by that author. Thus he considers the entire family as divisible into the two genera of Sorex and Crocidura. In the former he includes Sorex and Crossopus of Wagler, here considered only as subgenera, from their having many characters in common, in the ears, in the colouring of the teeth, and in the fur and tail, and from the number of the small lateral incisors not being esteemed a sufficient ground to warrant a generic separation. The genus Crocidura comprises the two subgenera of Pachyura and Crocidura, the former of which is established here for the first time, for the reception of the Sorex Etrusca of Savi, together with those foreign species having one more lateral incisor above than the true Crocidura as restricted by our author. It may be useful to those naturalists who are more familiar with Duvernoy's arrangement of this family than Wagler's, to state that the subgenus Sorex of this work answers to Amphisorex of Duvernoy's last memoir, Crossopus to Hydrosorex of the same author, and Crocidura to Sorex; the characters of which it is not necessary to repeat here, as they have been already brought under the notice of the English reader in a former number of this Magazine*. The relative value, however, of these groups will be made more clear when exhibited in the following manner; and it may be desirable to annex to each the included species.

Gen. 1. SOREX, LIN.

Subgen. 1. Sorex, Wagl.

Sp. 1. tetragonurus, Herm.

2. pygmæus, Laxm.

3. alpinus, Schinz.

Subgen. 2. Crossorus, Wagl.

Sp. 4. fodiens, Pall.

5. ciliatus, Sow.

Gen. 2. CROCIDURA, WAGL.

Subgen. 1. Pachyura, De Selys. Subgen. 2. Crocidura, Wagl. Sp. 1. Etrusca, Bonap. (Sorex Etr. Savi.) Sp. 2. Aranea, De Selys. (Sor. Aran. Auct.)
3. Leucodon, Wagl.

^{*} Ann. of Nat. Hist. vol. i. pp. 422 and 424.

species as had been described from time to time, to compare them with each other, and to determine which were to be considered as *true* species, and which as varieties.

In the arrangement of the Soricidæ, which form the first division of his work, M. De Selys-Longchamps has for the most part followed Wagler, having only altered the value of the groups established by that author. Thus he considers the entire family as divisible into the two genera of Sorex and Crocidura. In the former he includes Sorex and Crossopus of Wagler, here considered only as subgenera, from their having many characters in common, in the ears, in the colouring of the teeth, and in the fur and tail, and from the number of the small lateral incisors not being esteemed a sufficient ground to warrant a generic separation. The genus Crocidura comprises the two subgenera of Pachyura and Crocidura, the former of which is established here for the first time, for the reception of the Sorex Etrusca of Savi, together with those foreign species having one more lateral incisor above than the true Crocidura as restricted by our author. It may be useful to those naturalists who are more familiar with Duvernoy's arrangement of this family than Wagler's, to state that the subgenus Sorex of this work answers to Amphisorex of Duvernoy's last memoir, Crossopus to Hydrosorex of the same author, and Crocidura to Sorex; the characters of which it is not necessary to repeat here, as they have been already brought under the notice of the English reader in a former number of this Magazine*. The relative value, however, of these groups will be made more clear when exhibited in the following manner; and it may be desirable to annex to each the included species.

Gen. 1. SOREX, LIN.

Subgen. 1. Sorex, Wagl.

Sp. 1. tetragonurus, Herm.

2. pygmæus, Laxm.

3. alpinus, Schinz.

Subgen. 2. Crossorus, Wagl.

Sp. 4. fodiens, Pall.

5. ciliatus, Sow.

Gen. 2. CROCIDURA, WAGL.

Subgen. 1. Pachyura, De Selys. Subgen. 2. Crocidura, Wagl. Sp. 1. Etrusca, Bonap. (Sorex Etr. Savi.) Sp. 2. Aranea, De Selys. (Sor. Aran. Auct.)
3. Leucodon, Wagl.

^{*} Ann. of Nat. Hist. vol. i. pp. 422 and 424.

The species here indicated are nearly identical with those adopted by Nathusius*; and it is satisfactory to find two authors, who appear to have bestowed equal pains upon the subject, agree in their estimation of what are to be considered good species in a group, which, almost as much as any that can be mentioned, abounds in spurious ones. M. De Selys-Longchamps has announced the fact that there are more than eighteen names to choose out of for the common water-shrew; and Nathusius has annexed nearly two-thirds that number of synonyms to the S, tetragonurus! The only respects in which the list of species in this work differs from that of Nathusius, are the adoption of the S. alpinus of Schinz, which Nathusius does not appear to have personally examined, and the S. ciliatus of Sowerby. With regard to this last, however, it is justly observed, that there are many specimens apparently so intermediate between it and S. fodiens, that the two may yet prove to be varieties of one species, as Nathusius seems to have considered them.

As for the species described for the first time by Mr. Jenyns in former numbers of this Magazine, M. De Selys-Longchamps, not having seen them before the publication of his work, has placed them in an Appendix, in which he has presented in a tabular form the distinguishing characters of S. tetragonurus, S. rusticus, and S. castaneus, as Mr. Jenyns has stated them. At the same time he observes that those of the S. rusticus appear very marked, and apply well to a small shrew found by himself in one instance in the province of Liége, and which he had previously considered as a young S. tetragonurus. He has made a similar observation with respect to the S. labiosus of Jenyns, the characters of which he briefly notices, adding that it agrees well with an individual seen by him at Francfort-on-Main, obtained by Dr. Cretschmar; though, without an opportunity of inspecting recent individuals, he does not venture to introduce it as an authentic species. Since the publication of his book, M. De Selys-Longchamps has visited this country, when Mr. Jenyns's species were submitted to his examination. He still declined offering any decided opinion about the S. labiosus and the S. castaneus; but he expressed himself quite satisfied, that the small shrew found in Ireland, considered by Mr. Jenyns as a variety of his S. rusticus, was perfectly distinct from the S. tetragonurus †.

Wiegmann's Archiv für Naturgeschichte, 1838, p. 45.
 The name of Hibernicus will hardly be appropriate for this species, as it has been found in several parts of England also; but if it be proved, as Mr. Jenyns suspects will eventually be the case, to be not specifically distinct from the shrew which he originally called *rusticus*, it may be retained under this last name, without having recourse to any new one. Mr. Jenyns will, before long, probably offer some remarks on this point.

The species here indicated are nearly identical with those adopted by Nathusius*; and it is satisfactory to find two authors, who appear to have bestowed equal pains upon the subject, agree in their estimation of what are to be considered good species in a group, which, almost as much as any that can be mentioned, abounds in spurious ones. M. De Selys-Longchamps has announced the fact that there are more than eighteen names to choose out of for the common water-shrew; and Nathusius has annexed nearly two-thirds that number of synonyms to the S, tetragonurus! The only respects in which the list of species in this work differs from that of Nathusius, are the adoption of the S. alpinus of Schinz, which Nathusius does not appear to have personally examined, and the S. ciliatus of Sowerby. With regard to this last, however, it is justly observed, that there are many specimens apparently so intermediate between it and S. fodiens, that the two may yet prove to be varieties of one species, as Nathusius seems to have considered them.

As for the species described for the first time by Mr. Jenyns in former numbers of this Magazine, M. De Selys-Longchamps, not having seen them before the publication of his work, has placed them in an Appendix, in which he has presented in a tabular form the distinguishing characters of S. tetragonurus, S. rusticus, and S. castaneus, as Mr. Jenyns has stated them. At the same time he observes that those of the S. rusticus appear very marked, and apply well to a small shrew found by himself in one instance in the province of Liége, and which he had previously considered as a young S. tetragonurus. He has made a similar observation with respect to the S. labiosus of Jenyns, the characters of which he briefly notices, adding that it agrees well with an individual seen by him at Francfort-on-Main, obtained by Dr. Cretschmar; though, without an opportunity of inspecting recent individuals, he does not venture to introduce it as an authentic species. Since the publication of his book, M. De Selys-Longchamps has visited this country, when Mr. Jenyns's species were submitted to his examination. He still declined offering any decided opinion about the S. labiosus and the S. castaneus; but he expressed himself quite satisfied, that the small shrew found in Ireland, considered by Mr. Jenyns as a variety of his S. rusticus, was perfectly distinct from the S. tetragonurus †.

Wiegmann's Archiv für Naturgeschichte, 1838, p. 45.
 The name of Hibernicus will hardly be appropriate for this species, as it has been found in several parts of England also; but if it be proved, as Mr. Jenyns suspects will eventually be the case, to be not specifically distinct from the shrew which he originally called *rusticus*, it may be retained under this last name, without having recourse to any new one. Mr. Jenyns will, before long, probably offer some remarks on this point.

The species here indicated are nearly identical with those adopted by Nathusius*; and it is satisfactory to find two authors, who appear to have bestowed equal pains upon the subject, agree in their estimation of what are to be considered good species in a group, which, almost as much as any that can be mentioned, abounds in spurious ones. M. De Selys-Longchamps has announced the fact that there are more than eighteen names to choose out of for the common water-shrew; and Nathusius has annexed nearly two-thirds that number of synonyms to the S, tetragonurus! The only respects in which the list of species in this work differs from that of Nathusius, are the adoption of the S. alpinus of Schinz, which Nathusius does not appear to have personally examined, and the S. ciliatus of Sowerby. With regard to this last, however, it is justly observed, that there are many specimens apparently so intermediate between it and S. fodiens, that the two may yet prove to be varieties of one species, as Nathusius seems to have considered them.

As for the species described for the first time by Mr. Jenyns in former numbers of this Magazine, M. De Selys-Longchamps, not having seen them before the publication of his work, has placed them in an Appendix, in which he has presented in a tabular form the distinguishing characters of S. tetragonurus, S. rusticus, and S. castaneus, as Mr. Jenyns has stated them. At the same time he observes that those of the S. rusticus appear very marked, and apply well to a small shrew found by himself in one instance in the province of Liége, and which he had previously considered as a young S. tetragonurus. He has made a similar observation with respect to the S. labiosus of Jenyns, the characters of which he briefly notices, adding that it agrees well with an individual seen by him at Francfort-on-Main, obtained by Dr. Cretschmar; though, without an opportunity of inspecting recent individuals, he does not venture to introduce it as an authentic species. Since the publication of his book, M. De Selys-Longchamps has visited this country, when Mr. Jenyns's species were submitted to his examination. He still declined offering any decided opinion about the S. labiosus and the S. castaneus; but he expressed himself quite satisfied, that the small shrew found in Ireland, considered by Mr. Jenyns as a variety of his S. rusticus, was perfectly distinct from the S. tetragonurus †.

Wiegmann's Archiv für Naturgeschichte, 1838, p. 45.
 The name of Hibernicus will hardly be appropriate for this species, as it has been found in several parts of England also; but if it be proved, as Mr. Jenyns suspects will eventually be the case, to be not specifically distinct from the shrew which he originally called *rusticus*, it may be retained under this last name, without having recourse to any new one. Mr. Jenyns will, before long, probably offer some remarks on this point.

The second portion of M. De Selys-Longchamps' work treats of the European species of Mus, L., which are all retained under one genus, admitting, however, of two sectional divisions as follows:

I. Omnivorous; ears oblong, naked: containing six species, viz. M. decumanus, Pall.; M. Alexandrinus, Geoff.; M. Rattus, Lin.; M. Musculus, Lin.; M. Islandicus, Thienem.; M. sylvaticus, Lin.

II. Granivorous; ears rounded, hairy: containing two species, viz. M. agrarius, Pall., and M. minutus, Pall. The former of these is stated to be at the limits of the two groups, having the general form of M. sylvaticus, with the ears of the second group.

To the above, another section is prefixed, containing what he terms Rats échimoides, or those species the fur of which is mixed up with sharp prickly hairs, as in the genus Echimys. This group, however, is entirely exotic, inhabiting the intertropical countries of Asia and Africa.

It is not pretended that these divisions are capable of such strict definition as to be applied rigorously, but it is thought that they are sufficiently natural, taking them in the whole, without going into details.

With regard to the species of this genus, the author observes, that they have been much less confused than those of Arvicola and Sorex, if exception be made of the M. minutus (the Harvest Mouse of English authors), the synonymy of which we think he has sufficiently cleared up. As for the others, it is principally in relation to their habitats and their diagnostic characters that he has found any occasion for making new remarks. The M. Alexandrinus, first described by Geoffroy St. Hilaire in the great work on Egypt, is here considered to be the same as the M. Tectorum of Savi and the Prince of Musignano, although regarded as distinct by the two authors just mentioned. M. De Selys-Longchamps has pointed out the insufficiency of those characters which have been resorted to as grounds for separating them. The M. Hibernicus of Thompson, he has noticed in an appendix along with two Sicilian species discovered and described by Rafinesque, the M. frugivorus and the M. Dichrurus, concerning none of which he offers any opinion, as they have not fallen under his own observation. At the same time, in reference to the first, he states, that if the colour of the fur is constant, and especially if the difference in the length of the ears between it and the M. Rattus is not caused by the way in which the animal is prepared, he should be tempted to admit it as a species. In another place he suggests, whether it may not be a hybrid between the M. Rattus and the M. decumanus. He adds, however, that this is not likely.

The genus Arvicola, which forms the subject of the third mono-

The second portion of M. De Selys-Longchamps' work treats of the European species of Mus, L., which are all retained under one genus, admitting, however, of two sectional divisions as follows:

I. Omnivorous; ears oblong, naked: containing six species, viz. M. decumanus, Pall.; M. Alexandrinus, Geoff.; M. Rattus, Lin.; M. Musculus, Lin.; M. Islandicus, Thienem.; M. sylvaticus, Lin.

II. Granivorous; ears rounded, hairy: containing two species, viz. M. agrarius, Pall., and M. minutus, Pall. The former of these is stated to be at the limits of the two groups, having the general form of M. sylvaticus, with the ears of the second group.

To the above, another section is prefixed, containing what he terms Rats échimoides, or those species the fur of which is mixed up with sharp prickly hairs, as in the genus Echimys. This group, however, is entirely exotic, inhabiting the intertropical countries of Asia and Africa.

It is not pretended that these divisions are capable of such strict definition as to be applied rigorously, but it is thought that they are sufficiently natural, taking them in the whole, without going into details.

With regard to the species of this genus, the author observes, that they have been much less confused than those of Arvicola and Sorex, if exception be made of the M. minutus (the Harvest Mouse of English authors), the synonymy of which we think he has sufficiently cleared up. As for the others, it is principally in relation to their habitats and their diagnostic characters that he has found any occasion for making new remarks. The M. Alexandrinus, first described by Geoffroy St. Hilaire in the great work on Egypt, is here considered to be the same as the M. Tectorum of Savi and the Prince of Musignano, although regarded as distinct by the two authors just mentioned. M. De Selys-Longchamps has pointed out the insufficiency of those characters which have been resorted to as grounds for separating them. The M. Hibernicus of Thompson, he has noticed in an appendix along with two Sicilian species discovered and described by Rafinesque, the M. frugivorus and the M. Dichrurus, concerning none of which he offers any opinion, as they have not fallen under his own observation. At the same time, in reference to the first, he states, that if the colour of the fur is constant, and especially if the difference in the length of the ears between it and the M. Rattus is not caused by the way in which the animal is prepared, he should be tempted to admit it as a species. In another place he suggests, whether it may not be a hybrid between the M. Rattus and the M. decumanus. He adds, however, that this is not likely.

The genus Arvicola, which forms the subject of the third mono-

The second portion of M. De Selys-Longchamps' work treats of the European species of Mus, L., which are all retained under one genus, admitting, however, of two sectional divisions as follows:

I. Omnivorous; ears oblong, naked: containing six species, viz. M. decumanus, Pall.; M. Alexandrinus, Geoff.; M. Rattus, Lin.; M. Musculus, Lin.; M. Islandicus, Thienem.; M. sylvaticus, Lin.

II. Granivorous; ears rounded, hairy: containing two species, viz. M. agrarius, Pall., and M. minutus, Pall. The former of these is stated to be at the limits of the two groups, having the general form of M. sylvaticus, with the ears of the second group.

To the above, another section is prefixed, containing what he terms Rats échimoides, or those species the fur of which is mixed up with sharp prickly hairs, as in the genus Echimys. This group, however, is entirely exotic, inhabiting the intertropical countries of Asia and Africa.

It is not pretended that these divisions are capable of such strict definition as to be applied rigorously, but it is thought that they are sufficiently natural, taking them in the whole, without going into details.

With regard to the species of this genus, the author observes, that they have been much less confused than those of Arvicola and Sorex, if exception be made of the M. minutus (the Harvest Mouse of English authors), the synonymy of which we think he has sufficiently cleared up. As for the others, it is principally in relation to their habitats and their diagnostic characters that he has found any occasion for making new remarks. The M. Alexandrinus, first described by Geoffroy St. Hilaire in the great work on Egypt, is here considered to be the same as the M. Tectorum of Savi and the Prince of Musignano, although regarded as distinct by the two authors just mentioned. M. De Selys-Longchamps has pointed out the insufficiency of those characters which have been resorted to as grounds for separating them. The M. Hibernicus of Thompson, he has noticed in an appendix along with two Sicilian species discovered and described by Rafinesque, the M. frugivorus and the M. Dichrurus, concerning none of which he offers any opinion, as they have not fallen under his own observation. At the same time, in reference to the first, he states, that if the colour of the fur is constant, and especially if the difference in the length of the ears between it and the M. Rattus is not caused by the way in which the animal is prepared, he should be tempted to admit it as a species. In another place he suggests, whether it may not be a hybrid between the M. Rattus and the M. decumanus. He adds, however, that this is not likely.

The genus Arvicola, which forms the subject of the third mono-

graph in this work, is a more extensive group than either of the two already treated of. It consists of eleven European species, of which no less than four appear to have been first discovered or described by M. De Selys-Longchamps himself. They are all arranged under two sections, each of which is further divided into two others.

I. The first section consists of those species which have the external ears shorter than the fur, often almost none at all: eyes very small.

This section comprises the two subordinate groups of (1.) Campagnols aquatiques (Hemiotomys, De Selys,) including A. amphibius, Lacep.; A. monticola, De Selys; A. destructor, Savi, and A. terrestris, Savi: and (2.) Campagnols Lemmings (Microtus, De Selys,) including the A. fulvus of Desmarest, and the A. Savii of De Selys.

II. The second section consists of those species which have the external ears as long as the fur and well developed; eyes varying, often prominent.

This section is subdivided into the two groups of (1.) Campagnols proprement dits (Arvicola,) including the A. subterraneus, De Selys; A. arvalis, Lacep.; A. socialis, Desm.; A. duodecim-costatus, De Selys: and (2.) Campagnols murins (Myodes, De Selys), which last group is instituted for the reception of the A. rubidus, De Selys (the A. riparia of Yarrell), which is stated, on the authority of Nathusius, to have the molar teeth with fangs in the adult state, a character wherein it differs from all the other species of the genus.

M. De Selys-Longchamps states that the genus Mynomes of Rafinesque forms a third section characterized by its scaly tail. It is not his intention, however, to raise any of these sections to the rank of a genus or a subgenus. He observes that they all pass into each other by insensible differences in the length of the tail and ears; and in regard to the character derived from the fangs of the teeth, that it probably exists more or less in other species. And in imposing Latin names on these groups, taken from among the synonyms of the genus, his only object has been to give foreigners an idea of the different names which he has used in French.

It has been already stated that four of the above species were first discovered or described by M. De Selys-Longchamps himself. These are the A. monticola, the A. Savii, the A. subterraneus, and the A. duodecim-costatus; and it may be useful to repeat here their respective characters, as they are probably not much known to the naturalists of this country, although three of these species have already appeared in the 'Revue Zoologique,' and the fourth has been de-

graph in this work, is a more extensive group than either of the two already treated of. It consists of eleven European species, of which no less than four appear to have been first discovered or described by M. De Selys-Longchamps himself. They are all arranged under two sections, each of which is further divided into two others.

I. The first section consists of those species which have the external ears shorter than the fur, often almost none at all: eyes very small.

This section comprises the two subordinate groups of (1.) Campagnols aquatiques (Hemiotomys, De Selys,) including A. amphibius, Lacep.; A. monticola, De Selys; A. destructor, Savi, and A. terrestris, Savi: and (2.) Campagnols Lemmings (Microtus, De Selys,) including the A. fulvus of Desmarest, and the A. Savii of De Selys.

II. The second section consists of those species which have the external ears as long as the fur and well developed; eyes varying, often prominent.

This section is subdivided into the two groups of (1.) Campagnols proprement dits (Arvicola,) including the A. subterraneus, De Selys; A. arvalis, Lacep.; A. socialis, Desm.; A. duodecim-costatus, De Selys: and (2.) Campagnols murins (Myodes, De Selys), which last group is instituted for the reception of the A. rubidus, De Selys (the A. riparia of Yarrell), which is stated, on the authority of Nathusius, to have the molar teeth with fangs in the adult state, a character wherein it differs from all the other species of the genus.

M. De Selys-Longchamps states that the genus Mynomes of Rafinesque forms a third section characterized by its scaly tail. It is not his intention, however, to raise any of these sections to the rank of a genus or a subgenus. He observes that they all pass into each other by insensible differences in the length of the tail and ears; and in regard to the character derived from the fangs of the teeth, that it probably exists more or less in other species. And in imposing Latin names on these groups, taken from among the synonyms of the genus, his only object has been to give foreigners an idea of the different names which he has used in French.

It has been already stated that four of the above species were first discovered or described by M. De Selys-Longchamps himself. These are the A. monticola, the A. Savii, the A. subterraneus, and the A. duodecim-costatus; and it may be useful to repeat here their respective characters, as they are probably not much known to the naturalists of this country, although three of these species have already appeared in the 'Revue Zoologique,' and the fourth has been de-

graph in this work, is a more extensive group than either of the two already treated of. It consists of eleven European species, of which no less than four appear to have been first discovered or described by M. De Selys-Longchamps himself. They are all arranged under two sections, each of which is further divided into two others.

I. The first section consists of those species which have the external ears shorter than the fur, often almost none at all: eyes very small.

This section comprises the two subordinate groups of (1.) Campagnols aquatiques (Hemiotomys, De Selys,) including A. amphibius, Lacep.; A. monticola, De Selys; A. destructor, Savi, and A. terrestris, Savi: and (2.) Campagnols Lemmings (Microtus, De Selys,) including the A. fulvus of Desmarest, and the A. Savii of De Selys.

II. The second section consists of those species which have the external ears as long as the fur and well developed; eyes varying, often prominent.

This section is subdivided into the two groups of (1.) Campagnols proprement dits (Arvicola,) including the A. subterraneus, De Selys; A. arvalis, Lacep.; A. socialis, Desm.; A. duodecim-costatus, De Selys: and (2.) Campagnols murins (Myodes, De Selys), which last group is instituted for the reception of the A. rubidus, De Selys (the A. riparia of Yarrell), which is stated, on the authority of Nathusius, to have the molar teeth with fangs in the adult state, a character wherein it differs from all the other species of the genus.

M. De Selys-Longchamps states that the genus Mynomes of Rafinesque forms a third section characterized by its scaly tail. It is not his intention, however, to raise any of these sections to the rank of a genus or a subgenus. He observes that they all pass into each other by insensible differences in the length of the tail and ears; and in regard to the character derived from the fangs of the teeth, that it probably exists more or less in other species. And in imposing Latin names on these groups, taken from among the synonyms of the genus, his only object has been to give foreigners an idea of the different names which he has used in French.

It has been already stated that four of the above species were first discovered or described by M. De Selys-Longchamps himself. These are the A. monticola, the A. Savii, the A. subterraneus, and the A. duodecim-costatus; and it may be useful to repeat here their respective characters, as they are probably not much known to the naturalists of this country, although three of these species have already appeared in the 'Revue Zoologique,' and the fourth has been de-

scribed as well as figured in the author's brochure on the $Arvicol\alpha$ of Liége. They are as follows:

1. A. monticola. Size of the A. amphibius. Tail pale ash, a little shorter than half the length of the body: fur yellowish grey, mixt with pale yellowish at the sides, whitish ash beneath and on the feet. (13 pairs of ribs?)

Inhabits the Pyrenees.

2. A. Savii. Size of the A. arvalis. External ears a little hairy, much shorter than the fur: tail a little shorter than one-third of the body; of two colours, brownish above, whitish beneath: fur browngrey above, ash colour beneath: feet pale ash. (14 pairs of ribs.)

Inhabits Tuscany, Lombardy, and probably all Italy.

3. A. subterraneus. Size a little larger than that of the A. arvalis. Ears a little shorter, of the length of the fur, nearly naked; eyes very small: tail one third the length of the body, of two colours, blackish above, white beneath: fur blackish grey above, ash-colour or whitish on the abdomen only: feet deep ash. (13 pairs of ribs.)

Inhabits Belgium, French Flanders, and the environs of Paris, but no other parts of Europe, unless it be the *Mus agrestis* of Linné, in which case it is found also in Sweden*.

4. A. duodecim-costatus. Size of the A. arvalis. Tail a little longer than one third of the body. Twelve pairs of ribs: six lumbar vertebræ. Fur?...

Inhabits the South of France and the frontiers of Switzerland, but supposed to be very rare. No skin of it exists, and only the osteology of it is known. The 12 pairs of ribs distinguish it from every other species excepting the A. socialis, and from this it may be known by its longer tail, and by having 6 instead of 5 lumbar vertebræ.

The A. destructor is a species found in Italy, which appears to have been recognised by M. De Selys-Longchamps and M. Savi nearly about the same time. It was originally described by the former in the 'Revue Zoologique,' under the name of A. Musignani, but this name is exchanged here for destructor out of courtesy to M. Savi, who had previously thus designated it. It is closely allied to the A. amphibius, from which it may be known by a difference in the fur, which much resembles that of the Mus decumanus, and by the nearly uniform whitish-ash colour of the under parts. But its great peculiarity resides in the form of the cranium, which is said to be quite different from that of its congeners. This part is represented,

^{*} This species was first characterized by M. Baillon in 1834, under the name of *Lemmus pratensis*, but it had been discovered by M. De Selys-Longchamps as long previously as 1831.

scribed as well as figured in the author's brochure on the $Arvicol\alpha$ of Liége. They are as follows:

1. A. monticola. Size of the A. amphibius. Tail pale ash, a little shorter than half the length of the body: fur yellowish grey, mixt with pale yellowish at the sides, whitish ash beneath and on the feet. (13 pairs of ribs?)

Inhabits the Pyrenees.

2. A. Savii. Size of the A. arvalis. External ears a little hairy, much shorter than the fur: tail a little shorter than one-third of the body; of two colours, brownish above, whitish beneath: fur browngrey above, ash colour beneath: feet pale ash. (14 pairs of ribs.)

Inhabits Tuscany, Lombardy, and probably all Italy.

3. A. subterraneus. Size a little larger than that of the A. arvalis. Ears a little shorter, of the length of the fur, nearly naked; eyes very small: tail one third the length of the body, of two colours, blackish above, white beneath: fur blackish grey above, ash-colour or whitish on the abdomen only: feet deep ash. (13 pairs of ribs.)

Inhabits Belgium, French Flanders, and the environs of Paris, but no other parts of Europe, unless it be the *Mus agrestis* of Linné, in which case it is found also in Sweden*.

4. A. duodecim-costatus. Size of the A. arvalis. Tail a little longer than one third of the body. Twelve pairs of ribs: six lumbar vertebræ. Fur?...

Inhabits the South of France and the frontiers of Switzerland, but supposed to be very rare. No skin of it exists, and only the osteology of it is known. The 12 pairs of ribs distinguish it from every other species excepting the A. socialis, and from this it may be known by its longer tail, and by having 6 instead of 5 lumbar vertebræ.

The A. destructor is a species found in Italy, which appears to have been recognised by M. De Selys-Longchamps and M. Savi nearly about the same time. It was originally described by the former in the 'Revue Zoologique,' under the name of A. Musignani, but this name is exchanged here for destructor out of courtesy to M. Savi, who had previously thus designated it. It is closely allied to the A. amphibius, from which it may be known by a difference in the fur, which much resembles that of the Mus decumanus, and by the nearly uniform whitish-ash colour of the under parts. But its great peculiarity resides in the form of the cranium, which is said to be quite different from that of its congeners. This part is represented,

^{*} This species was first characterized by M. Baillon in 1834, under the name of *Lemmus pratensis*, but it had been discovered by M. De Selys-Longchamps as long previously as 1831.

scribed as well as figured in the author's brochure on the $Arvicol\alpha$ of Liége. They are as follows:

1. A. monticola. Size of the A. amphibius. Tail pale ash, a little shorter than half the length of the body: fur yellowish grey, mixt with pale yellowish at the sides, whitish ash beneath and on the feet. (13 pairs of ribs?)

Inhabits the Pyrenees.

2. A. Savii. Size of the A. arvalis. External ears a little hairy, much shorter than the fur: tail a little shorter than one-third of the body; of two colours, brownish above, whitish beneath: fur browngrey above, ash colour beneath: feet pale ash. (14 pairs of ribs.)

Inhabits Tuscany, Lombardy, and probably all Italy.

3. A. subterraneus. Size a little larger than that of the A. arvalis. Ears a little shorter, of the length of the fur, nearly naked; eyes very small: tail one third the length of the body, of two colours, blackish above, white beneath: fur blackish grey above, ash-colour or whitish on the abdomen only: feet deep ash. (13 pairs of ribs.)

Inhabits Belgium, French Flanders, and the environs of Paris, but no other parts of Europe, unless it be the *Mus agrestis* of Linné, in which case it is found also in Sweden*.

4. A. duodecim-costatus. Size of the A. arvalis. Tail a little longer than one third of the body. Twelve pairs of ribs: six lumbar vertebræ. Fur?...

Inhabits the South of France and the frontiers of Switzerland, but supposed to be very rare. No skin of it exists, and only the osteology of it is known. The 12 pairs of ribs distinguish it from every other species excepting the A. socialis, and from this it may be known by its longer tail, and by having 6 instead of 5 lumbar vertebræ.

The A. destructor is a species found in Italy, which appears to have been recognised by M. De Selys-Longchamps and M. Savi nearly about the same time. It was originally described by the former in the 'Revue Zoologique,' under the name of A. Musignani, but this name is exchanged here for destructor out of courtesy to M. Savi, who had previously thus designated it. It is closely allied to the A. amphibius, from which it may be known by a difference in the fur, which much resembles that of the Mus decumanus, and by the nearly uniform whitish-ash colour of the under parts. But its great peculiarity resides in the form of the cranium, which is said to be quite different from that of its congeners. This part is represented,

^{*} This species was first characterized by M. Baillon in 1834, under the name of *Lemmus pratensis*, but it had been discovered by M. De Selys-Longchamps as long previously as 1831.

along with the crania of several other species of Arvicolæ, in three plates which accompany the present work.

The A. terrestris is the A. argentoratensis of Desmarest and Lesson. It is not the A. terrestris of the 'Fauna Italica,' this last being the same as the A. destructor mentioned above.

To each of the three monographs in this work is annexed a tabular arrangement of the dimensions of all the species contained in the respective genera. And in the case of the *Arvicolæ*, there are added two other tables; one exhibiting the relative characters of the crania in the different species, the other the number of the ribs and vertebræ.

The work concludes with a complete list of all the *Mammalia* hitherto discovered in Europe, amounting to 188 species, exclusively of those which have been introduced by man, and which are only domesticated.

We have dwelt the longer on this work in the hope that it may stimulate naturalists to making further researches in our own country. Notwithstanding the labours of M. De Selvs-Longchamps, and the pains which he has taken in the monographs above noticed, we are satisfied that the subject is not yet exhausted. There are several species in the three genera of Sorex, Mus, and Arvicola which require further investigation, and doubtless some which remain yet to be discovered. The British Shrews are not entirely cleared up. We have also more than once had submitted to our examination specimens of a mouse from the tops of the Irish mountains, closely allied to the M. sylvaticus, but apparently offering some differences: unfortunately they were not in a sufficiently good state of preservation to allow of any decided opinion respecting them. We may further add that it appears doubtful whether we have not in our museums two species of Arvicola confounded under the name of A. agrestis or arvalis, one of which is the true A. arvalis of M. De Selys-Longchamps, but the other so far distinct as not to have been immediately recognised by this naturalist when specimens were submitted to his view during his recent visit to this country. Ireland again seems to possess a species of this genus which it is likely will be found different from all those hitherto recorded as natives of Great Britain. But further remarks on some of these points will probably be brought under the notice of our readers before long.

along with the crania of several other species of Arvicolæ, in three plates which accompany the present work.

The A. terrestris is the A. argentoratensis of Desmarest and Lesson. It is not the A. terrestris of the 'Fauna Italica,' this last being the same as the A. destructor mentioned above.

To each of the three monographs in this work is annexed a tabular arrangement of the dimensions of all the species contained in the respective genera. And in the case of the *Arvicolæ*, there are added two other tables; one exhibiting the relative characters of the crania in the different species, the other the number of the ribs and vertebræ.

The work concludes with a complete list of all the *Mammalia* hitherto discovered in Europe, amounting to 188 species, exclusively of those which have been introduced by man, and which are only domesticated.

We have dwelt the longer on this work in the hope that it may stimulate naturalists to making further researches in our own country. Notwithstanding the labours of M. De Selvs-Longchamps, and the pains which he has taken in the monographs above noticed, we are satisfied that the subject is not yet exhausted. There are several species in the three genera of Sorex, Mus, and Arvicola which require further investigation, and doubtless some which remain yet to be discovered. The British Shrews are not entirely cleared up. We have also more than once had submitted to our examination specimens of a mouse from the tops of the Irish mountains, closely allied to the M. sylvaticus, but apparently offering some differences: unfortunately they were not in a sufficiently good state of preservation to allow of any decided opinion respecting them. We may further add that it appears doubtful whether we have not in our museums two species of Arvicola confounded under the name of A. agrestis or arvalis, one of which is the true A. arvalis of M. De Selys-Longchamps, but the other so far distinct as not to have been immediately recognised by this naturalist when specimens were submitted to his view during his recent visit to this country. Ireland again seems to possess a species of this genus which it is likely will be found different from all those hitherto recorded as natives of Great Britain. But further remarks on some of these points will probably be brought under the notice of our readers before long.

along with the crania of several other species of Arvicolæ, in three plates which accompany the present work.

The A. terrestris is the A. argentoratensis of Desmarest and Lesson. It is not the A. terrestris of the 'Fauna Italica,' this last being the same as the A. destructor mentioned above.

To each of the three monographs in this work is annexed a tabular arrangement of the dimensions of all the species contained in the respective genera. And in the case of the *Arvicolæ*, there are added two other tables; one exhibiting the relative characters of the crania in the different species, the other the number of the ribs and vertebræ.

The work concludes with a complete list of all the *Mammalia* hitherto discovered in Europe, amounting to 188 species, exclusively of those which have been introduced by man, and which are only domesticated.

We have dwelt the longer on this work in the hope that it may stimulate naturalists to making further researches in our own country. Notwithstanding the labours of M. De Selvs-Longchamps, and the pains which he has taken in the monographs above noticed, we are satisfied that the subject is not yet exhausted. There are several species in the three genera of Sorex, Mus, and Arvicola which require further investigation, and doubtless some which remain yet to be discovered. The British Shrews are not entirely cleared up. We have also more than once had submitted to our examination specimens of a mouse from the tops of the Irish mountains, closely allied to the M. sylvaticus, but apparently offering some differences: unfortunately they were not in a sufficiently good state of preservation to allow of any decided opinion respecting them. We may further add that it appears doubtful whether we have not in our museums two species of Arvicola confounded under the name of A. agrestis or arvalis, one of which is the true A. arvalis of M. De Selys-Longchamps, but the other so far distinct as not to have been immediately recognised by this naturalist when specimens were submitted to his view during his recent visit to this country. Ireland again seems to possess a species of this genus which it is likely will be found different from all those hitherto recorded as natives of Great Britain. But further remarks on some of these points will probably be brought under the notice of our readers before long.